## Application No. Applicant(s) 10/551,737 SMITH ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit KRISTIN BIANCHI 1626 All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) KRISTIN BIANCHI. (3)Margaret Buck. (4)\_\_\_\_\_. (2) Mary Johnson. Date of Interview: 08 June 2009. Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference c) Personal [copy given to: 1] applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_. Claim(s) discussed: 21-27. Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_. Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached. g) $\square$ was not reached. h) $\square$ N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Applicants' representatives brought to the examiner's attention that a rejoinder was requested in the response to election/restriction, therefore, the method claims should not have been cancelled without notifying Applicants' representative. An agreement was reached on how to amend the method claims so that they comply with the enablement requirement. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.